

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/758,207	01/15/200	04	Ty W. Phipps	29379.00	29379.00 4873	
22465	7590 01	1/11/2005		EXAMINER		
PITTS AND P O BOX 512	BRITTIAN P	C		ARK, DA	RREN W	
	E, TN 37950-1	1295	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•		•	3643		
				DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				A 3
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	71
		10/758,207	PHIPPS, TY W.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	,	Darren W. Ark	3643	
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication a Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure to Any repi	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REFAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. riod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a region of the provision of the pr	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	November 2004.	,	
,	·	nis action is non-final.		
3)□ S	ince this application is in condition for allow osed in accordance with the practice unde	vance except for formal matters, p		
Dispositio	n of Claims		•	
5)⊠ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	laim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application Of the above claim(s) is/are withd laim(s) <u>3</u> is/are allowed. laim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-18</u> is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Applicatio	n Papers			
9)∐ T h	e specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	,	
•	ie drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ a			
	oplicant may not request that any objection to the			
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrolle oath or declaration is objected to by the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· •	
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) [knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docume Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Burse the attached detailed Office action for a lie	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s				
	f References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa		
3) 🔲 Informa	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 o(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/758,207

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In regard to claim 1, line 16, the term "said" should be inserted before "planar mid-portions" since the term "planar mid-portions" was set forth previously in line 12.

In regard to claim 1, line 17, the term "each" should be inserted before "saud opposed surface" since these surfaces were previously set forth in lines 12 and 15.

In regard to claim 2, the phrase "... such that said bait segment is adjacent and distal of said blade first and second end segments respectively thereby negating contact..." renders the claim vague and indefinite since rotation of the blade will change the relative orientation between the bait segment and the first and second segments. It should be rewritten as "... such that said bait segment is a sufficient distance apart from said blade such that said bait segment is adjacent and distal of said blade first and second end segments during rotation of said blade thereby negating contact..." in order to convey the fact that the bait segment is close to the first and second segments during blade rotation yet far enough away so as not to contact the segments during blade rotation. Also see claim 4, lines 2-4 and claim 13, lines 10-12 for the same problem.

Application/Control Number: 10/758,207

Art Unit: 3643

In regard to claim 7, lines 20 and 21, the phrase "by said wire segment connecting said primary and secondary wire legs" renders the claim vague and indefinite since the "wire segment" was not previously set forth (It is claimed in line 2, of claim 8).

In regard to claim 8, lines 7 and 8, the term "an axis of rotation of said blade" renders the claim vague and indefinite since in claim 7, lines 10 and 11, the term "said blade having an axis of rotation..." was previously set forth.

In regard to claim 13, lines 11 and 12, the terms "said blade first and second angled segments" lacks positive antecedent basis since these terms were set forth in lines 19 and 20 of claim 13.

In regard to claim 15, lines 10-15, the phrase "...first half...second half...with paired holes...said first clapper...extend from a pair of closely spaced holes...said second clapper...a pair of closely spaced holes..." renders the claim vague and indefinite since it is unclear if the "paired holes" are the same as the holes from which the first and second clappers extend.

In regard to claim 17, lines 2 and 3, the term "said head portion distal end" should be changed to "said head portion trailing end" since this term was set forth in claim 13, line 15.

3. Claims 9-12, 16-18 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 9, line 2, "of" should be replaced with --to--.

Claim 9, line 4, "of" should be replaced with --to--.

Claim 16, line 5, "lesser" should be replaced with --less--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claim 3 is allowed.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 4-18 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

In regard to claim 1, the prior art of record does not disclose a fishing lure comprising a secondary wire leg having a length disposed parallel to the primary wire leg, the blade having an axis of rotation aligned with the length of the secondary wire leg, first and second end segments angled in opposed directions on opposed sides of the axis of rotation, and a clapper pivotably attached on each one of the opposed surfaces having the planar mid-portions of the blade.

In regard to claim 3, the prior art of record does not disclose a fishing lure comprising at least two clappers pivotably attached in diametrically opposed positions

Art Unit: 3643

on the opposed surfaces of the blade, each clapper pivots independently on the opposed surfaces during rotation of the blade.

In regard to claim 7, the prior art of record does not disclose a fishing lure comprising the bait segment having an <u>asymmetric</u> arcuately shaped body extending to a trailing end, the blade having an axis of rotation aligned with the secondary wire leg and which includes opposed blade surfaces extending to a trailing end having first and second angled segments curved in opposed directions from the blade surfaces and extending from opposed sides of the blade axis of rotation, and a sound generator pivotably attached on at least one of the opposed surfaces of the blade.

In regard to claim 13, the prior art of record does not disclose a fishing lure comprising the bait segment including an enlarged mid-portion to form an asymmetrically shaped oval-cross section and the blade including at least two sound generators pivotably disposed on diametrically opposed planar half-portion surfaces of the blade.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darren W. Ark whose telephone number is (703) 305-3733. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 8:00am-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Poon can be reached on (703) 308-2574. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Darren W. Ark Primary Examiner Art Unit 3643

DWA